A NEW SYNTHESIS OF trans-2-SUBSTITUTED-2-BUTENE-1,4-DIOLS FROM 2-BUTYNE-1,4-DIOL VIA NUCLEOPHILIC ADDITION OF GRIGNARD REAGENTS

Yoshio ISHINO, \* Kohji WAKAMOTO, and Tsuneaki HIRASHIMA

Osaka Municipal Techinical Research Institute, 1-6-50, Morinomiya,

Joto-ku, Osaka 536

trans-2-Substituted-2-butene-1,4-diols were readily obtained by reactions of 2-butyne-1,4-diol with Grignard reagents in good yields.

2-Butyne-1,4-diol  $(\underline{1})$ , easily prepared by the reaction of acetylene with formaldehyde in a commercial scale, may be one of the most potential raw materials in organic synthesis and industrial utilization.  $^{1}$ 

We have already reported facile syntheses of 2,3-diaryl-1,3-butadienes, 2) 2-acyloxy-2-butenes, 3) and 3,4-di(methylene)hexanedioic esters 4) starting from 1.

In the present communication, we describe a new and convenient synthesis of 2-substituted-2-butene-1,4-diol derivatives  $(\underline{3})$  via nucleophilic addition of Grignard reagents to 1.

A few synthetic methods for 3 have been reported. The present reaction provides a new entry to synthesis of 2-butene-1,4-diols which are very important intermediates for organic synthesis and chemical industry because of their much availability and interesting reactivity. This is also the first and interesting example of alkylation of acetylenic diols by Grignard reagents.

A typical procedure is as follows; To a solution of 0.04 mol of p-chlorophenylmagnesium bromide in 150 ml of anhydrous ether was added 0.01 mol of  $\underline{1}$  in 20 ml of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran dropwise at room temperature with vigorous stirring under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min, and then refluxed for 2 h. After usual workup of the mixture, 2-(p-chlorophenyl)-2-butene-1,4-diol ( $\underline{3d}$ ) was isolated in an 85% yield by silica gel column chromatography.

Under similar conditions, the addition of a variety of Grignard reagents to  $\underline{1}$  easily took place to give the corresponding  $\underline{3}$  in good yields (Table 1). Whereas cuprous halides are known as catalyst for the reaction of Grignard reagents with primary  $\mathcal{A}$ -acetylenic alcohols,  $\underline{6}$  treatment of  $\underline{1}$  with CuI/Grignard reagent gave only  $\underline{3}$  in poor yields (ca. 20-30%). Also as is shown in Table 1, secondary and tertiary bromides(3k,1) could not give preferable yield of 3.

Table 1. The Reaction of  $\underline{1}$  with  $\underline{2}$ 

-				
	Rin 3 Y	ield/% <sup>a)</sup>	$Mp \mathcal{O}_{m}/^{\circ}C^{1}$	$1_{H-NMR}(\delta, ppm)^{C}$
a	p-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	72	93-95	1.88(s, 2H), 3.81(s, 3H), 4.13(d J=7.2 Hz, 2H),
b	p-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	60	76-81	4.32(s, 2H), 5.90(t J=6.5 Hz , 1H), 6.93(m, 4H) 1.79(s, 2H), 2.36(s, 3H), 4.13(d J=8.0 Hz , 2H), 4.35(s, 2H), 5.91(m, 1H), 7.11(m, 4H)
	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	50	oil	1.92(s, 2H), 4.17(d J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.36(d J=1.7 Hz, 2H), 5.95(m, 1H), 7.31(m, 5H)
đ	$p-ClC_6H_4^d$	85	71-76	4.00(m, 2H), 4.25(m, 2H), 4.83(s, 2H), 5.92(m, 1H), 7.30(m, 4H)
	$p-FC_6H_4$	59	oil	2.55(s, 2H), $4.07(d J=8.0 Hz$ , 2H), $4.11(s, 2H)$ , $5.93(m, 1H)$ , $7.10(m, 4H)$
	d -c <sub>10</sub> <sup>H</sup> <sub>7</sub>	98	oil	1.56(s, 2H), 3.90(d J=6.8 Hz , 2H), 4.40(s, 2H), 6.24(m, 1H), 7.50(m, 7H)
g h	${^{C}_{6}}^{H_{5}}{^{C=C}_{5}}^{d}$	48 33	83-92 oil	4.25(m, 4H), 4.80(s, 2H), 6.13(m, 1H), 7.34(m,5H) 3.44(s, 4H), 3.93(s, 2H), 4.26(d J=9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.71(t J=3.0 Hz, 1H), 7,19(m, 5H)
i	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	40	oil	0.99(t J=7.2 , 3H), 2.15(m, 4H), 4.15(m, 4H), 5.60(t J=6.5 Hz , 1H)
j	n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	97	oil	0.88(t J=8.0 Hz ,3H), 1.39(m, 2H), 2.06(t J=9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.02(s, 2H), 4.15(m, 4H), 5.65(t J=6.5 Hz, 1H)
	4 9	37	oil	1.10(m, 8H), 2.47(m, 3H), 4.18(m, 4H), 5.71(t J=6.5 Hz, 1H)
1	tert-C <sub>-</sub> H <sub>1</sub> ,	0		
m	tert-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	59	49-52	0.80(t J=8.1Hz , 3H), 1.26(s, 8H), 2.07(t J=6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.98(s, 2H), 4.03(s, 2H), 4.17(d J=5.6 Hz, 2H), 5.61(t J=6.1 Hz, 1H)
n	<sup>n-C</sup> 8 <sup>H</sup> 17	77	58-62	0.88(t J=6.5 Hz ,3H), 1.26(s, 12H), 1.94(m, 2H), 4.05(s, 2H), 4.20(d J=8.0 Hz , 2H), 5.65(t J=7.0 Hz, 1H)
	2 2	26	oil	2.16(s, 2H), 2.86(d J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.25(m, 4H), 5.13(m, 2H), 5.74(m, 2H)
р	cyclo-C6H11	33	oil	1.45(m, 11H), 2.40(s, 2H), 4.15(m, 4H), 5.58(t
				J=6.1 Hz , 1H)

a) Isolated yield. b) Uncorrected melting points. c)  $CDCl_3$  as solvent, unless otherwise stated. d)  $CD_3OD$  as solvent.

The trans geometry of  $\underline{3}$  was confirmed by  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum  $^{7)}$  and GLC,  $^{9)}$  and the reactivity of  $\underline{3}.^{10)}$  No cis isomers were formed. These results indicate that the addition of Grignard reagents to acetylene bond occurs in an anti-addition manner. In analogy with the mechanism proposed for the conventional addition of organomagnesium reagents to acetylenic alcohols,  $^{11)}$  we assume that the reaction proceeds via the initial formation of the organomagnesium intermediate  $\underline{A}$  by anti-addition of Grignard reagents to  $\underline{1}$  followed by hydrolysis to give  $\underline{3}$ .

As further application of  $\underline{3}$  to organic synthesis, we examined the reaction of  $\underline{A}$  with the reagents such as carbon dioxide,  $\underline{^{12}}$  orthoesters,  $\underline{^{4,13}}$  and Fetizon's reagent  $\underline{^{14}}$  (or NaBrO $_2^{15}$ ) to give the three types of interesting  $\gamma$ -butyrolactones as shown below.

Therefore it is concluded that the present one-pot synthesis of 2-substituted-2-butene-1,4-diols may possess high potentiality in organic and industrial chemistry in terms of good yield, considerably wide generality, simple procedure and successful transformation of the industrial raw material to a variety of highly useful products.

We thank Nippon Silica Co., Ltd. for gift of NaBrO2 • H2O.

## References

- 1) K. Weissermel and H. J. Arpe, "Industrielle Organische Chemie," Vorlarg chemie GmbH, Weinheim, Germany(1976), Chap.6.
- 2) Y. Ishino, I. Nishiguchi, F. Takihira, and T. Hirashima, Tetrahedron Lett.,  $\underline{21}$ , 1527(1980).
- 3) Y. Ishino, I. Nishiguchi, S. Nakao, and T. Hirashima, Chem. Lett., 1981, 641.
- 4) Y. Ishino, I. Nishiguchi, M. Kim, and T. Hirashima, Synthesis, 1982, 740.
- 5) For example, A. F. Shepard, and J. R. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 54, 4389(1932).
- 6) B. Jousseaume and J. G. Duboudin, J. Organomet. Chem., 91, C1(1975).
- 7) The trans olefinic protons of  $\underline{3}$  to the aryl or alkyl function are estimated by additive rule to appear at approximately 5.83 and 5.86 ppm, 8 (cis-form: 6.25 and 5.86 ppm). These values are in good agreement with the observed values.
- 8) C. Pascual, J. Meier, and W. Simon, Helv. Chim. Acta, 49, 164(1966).
- 9) GLC conditions are as follows; column OV-1 1.5 m, column temp ca. 170 °C, carrier gas  $\rm N_2$ .
- 10) Lactones( $\underline{4}$ ) could be prepared by the reaction of  $\underline{A}$  with carbon dioxide. Also, 2-butene-1,4-diols( $\underline{3}$ ) did not react with alkyl aldehydes. In the case of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol, however, 1,3-dioxolane derivatives were given by the reaction with aldehydes in good yields.
- 11) J. F. Normant and A. Alexakis, Synthesis, 1981, 841.
- 12) Carbon dioxide gas was bubbled into the mixture of  $\underline{A}$ .
- 13) W. S. Johnson, L. Werthemann, W. R. Bartlett, T. J. Krocksom, T. Li, D. J. Faulkner, and M. R. Petersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 741(1970).
- 14) M. Fetizon, M. Golfier, and J. M. Louis, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commum., 1969, 1118.
- 15) T. Kageyama, S. Kawahara, Y. Ueno, and M. Okawara, Chem. Lett.,  $\underline{1983}$ , 1097. (Received February 15, 1984)